

Executive Board Meeting July 18, 2024 1:00 pm to 3:00 pm 777 Cypress Ave. Enterprise Conference Room Redding, CA 96001

7/18 - Enterprise Conference Room

Join Zoom Meeting

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/82711482006?pwd=qUPtXXby0vs7E9mjeZdXWBBLpbegIy.1

Meeting ID: 827 1148 2006

Passcode: 487459

1(669)444-9171

Teleconference locations:

Sierra County Behavioral Health 706 Mill Street Loyalton, CA 9611

Del Norte County Health and Human Services 455 K Street Crescent City, CA 95531

Teach I.N.C 112 E 2nd Street Alturas, CA 96101

Lassen County Health and Social Services 1445 Paul Bunyan, Suite B Susanville, CA 96130

Siskiyou County Behavioral Health 2060 Campus Dr. Yreka, CA 96097

Plumas County PCIRC 591 Main Street Quincy, CA 95971

Executive Board Members

Kristen Schreder,County of Shasta, Chair

Sarah Collard, County of Siskiyou, Co-Chair

Grace PoorCounty of Lassen

Cathy Rahmeyer, County of Plumas

Carol Madison,County of Modoc

Sheryll Prinz-McMillan, County of Sierra

Michael Coats,

County of Del Norte



To Address the Board: Members of the public may address the Board on any agenda item. Pursuant to the Brown Act (Govt. Code section 54950, et seq.) Board action or discussion cannot be taken on non-agenda matters but the board may briefly respond to statements or questions. You may submit your public comment via email to norcalcoc@cityofredding.org that will be read into the record.

1. Call to Order/Quorum Established/Introductions

2. Public Comments (limited to 3 mins. per comment)

Members of the public will have the opportunity to address the Board on any issue within the jurisdiction of the Board. Speakers will be limited to three minutes.

3. Action Items

I. Approve Meeting Minutes

Board members will review and approve draft minutes from the 6/20/2024 Executive Board Meeting. Attachment A – Draft 6/20/2024 Executive Board Meeting minutes

II. Approve the new Data Tool in Concept

Review and approve the new Data Tool to be used to measure outcomes Attachment B – Data Tool

III. Approve Plumas Crisis and Intervention Resource Center as the PIT Administrator Review and approve the new PIT Administrator

IV. Approve the Siskiyou County Advisory Board nomination of Maddelyn Bryan to the Executive Board Review and approve nomination

Attachment C – Draft Nomination Letter

4. Discussion Items

- I. Governance Charter updates and new sub-committee
- II. HMIS Committee

5. Reports

- I. City of Redding
- II. UWNC
- III. NorCal CoC Members County Updates

6. Discussion Items for Next Meeting

I. HMIS Committee Membership

7. Adjournment

If requested, the agenda shall be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12132), and the federal rules and regulations adopted in implementation thereof. You may contact the City of Redding Housing Division

(530)225-4048 for disability-related modifications or accommodations, including auxiliary aids or services, in order to participate in the public meeting.



Next Executive Board Meetings August 15, 2024 1pm – 3pm Redding City Hall, Enterprise Conference Room



Draft Minutes June 20, 2024
1:00 pm to 3:00 pm
777 Cypress Ave. Enterprise Conference Room
Redding, CA 96001

5/20 - Enterprise Conference Room

Join Zoom Meeting

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/83070446028?pwd=ap3XLIGAFXZxyYKfhL4dCgOBT8Rmnx.1

Meeting ID: 830 7044 6028

Passcode: 792014

1(669)444-9171

Teleconference locations:

Sierra County Behavioral Health 706 Mill Street Loyalton, CA 9611

Del Norte County Health and Human Services 455 K Street Crescent City, CA 95531

Teach I.N.C 112 E 2nd Street Alturas, CA 96101

Lassen County Health and Social Services 1445 Paul Bunyan, Suite B Susanville, CA 96130

Siskiyou County Behavioral Health 2060 Campus Dr. Yreka, CA 96097

Plumas County PCIRC 591 Main Street Quincy, CA 95971

Executive Board Members

Kristen Schreder,County of Shasta, Chair

Sarah Collard,

County of Siskiyou, Co-Chair

Grace PoorCounty of Lassen

Cathy Rahmeyer,

County of Plumas

Carol Madison,County of Modoc

Sheryll Prinz-McMillan,

County of Sierra

Michael Coats,County of Del Norte



To Address the Board: Members of the public may address the Board on any agenda item. Pursuant to the Brown Act (Govt. Code section 54950, et seq.) Board action or discussion cannot be taken on non-agenda matters but the board may briefly respond to statements or questions. You may submit your public comment via email to norcalcoc@cityofredding.org that will be read into the record.

1. Call to Order/Quorum Established/Introductions

Executive Board members in attendance: Chair Kristen Schreder, Trish Barbieri, Grace Poor, Cathy Rahmeyer, Carol Madison, and Rebecca Peconom.

2. Public Comments (limited to 3 mins. per comment)

Members of the public will have the opportunity to address the Board on any issue within the jurisdiction of the Board. Speakers will be limited to three minutes.

No public comment.

3. Action Items

I. Approve Meeting Minutes

Board members will review and approve draft minutes from the 05/16/2024 Executive Board Meeting. Attachment A – Draft 05/16/2024 Executive Board Meeting minutes

Motion to approve 5/16/2024 Executive Board Minutes made by Carol Madison, seconded by Cathy Rahmeyer. Vote taken, all approved, none opposed, with the acceptation Trish Barbieri abstaining.

II. Approve HHAP 4 NOFA due by date extension

Review and approve HHAP 4 NOFA due date extension to June 28, 2024 for Shasta Advisory Board Attachment B – HHAP 4 NOFA

Chair Kristen Schreder explained the reason for the extension request per the Shasta Advisory Board, and she also explained that Counties who were ready to apply that the extension would not impact them, they could keep the original deadline. Members of the Executive Board discussed and shared how the extension would be beneficial for all of them. Cathy Rahmeyer made a motion to approve the HHAP 4 NOFA, seconded by Carol Madison. Vote taken, all approve, none opposed.

III. Approve the Letter of Intent to transfer the Partners II Program to Shasta Community Health Center from Shasta County starting October 1, 2024.

Attachment C – Letter of Support

Shawnna Flanigan explained the need for the Letter of Intent as the last item needed from HUD to start the transfer process of Partners II project from Shasta County to Shasta Community Health Center starting October 1, 2024. Cathy Rahmeyer made a motion to approve the Letter of Intent, seconded by Trish Barbieri. Vote taken, all approve, nine opposed.

4. Discussion Items

I. Governance Charter updates and new sub-committee



Shawnna Flanigan shared the need for the subcommittee and asked for one more member. She shared the names of the current three members (Shawnna Flanigan Chair Kristen Schreder, and Grace Poor). Shawnna Flanigan also shared that the first meeting is scheduled for July 25, 2024 at 2 pm. Shawnna Flanigan asked the Executive Board to email her if they have someone in mind. Trish Barbieri asked if the volunteer needed to me a member of the Executive Board, and Chair Kristen Schreder let her know that since it isn't defined in the current Governance Charter who can be members that at this time the volunteer would not need to be a member.

II. HMIS Committee membership

Shawnna Flanigan asked the Executive Board to start a conversation to possibly update who are the members of the HMIS Committee, and possibly update the members. Discussions ensured about who are the members now and who should be to ensure that the committee is well rounded and diverse. Chair Kristen Schreder asked all Executive Board members to take this back to their next Advisory Board meeting to discuss and select a new member. This item will be brought back to the August Executive Board meeting.

III. Collaborative Applicant updates

Shawnna Flanigan shared updates to the status of starting the Collaborative Applicant, and what are two areas of focus to improve the score; Street Outreach, and Healthcare Collaboration. Teddie Pierce, the consultant hired by the Executive Board to work on the Collaborative Applicant shared updates and needs. Teddie pierce also shared that there may be bonus funding opportunities, but we will not know until the NOFO comes out. She shared the timeline for the Collaborative Applicant, and additional needs such as a Ranking and Rating committee for the new projects. Teddie pierce is working on a new data plan which will assist in determining what projects/programs are working and which need additional help. This will better assist in analyzing projects and their outcomes.

IV. PIT Administrator RFP

Chair Kristen Schreder shared and reminded everyone of the PIT Administrator RFP deadline.

5. Reports

I. City of Redding

Shawnna Flanigan shared HHAP updates including that most of HHAP 3 contracts are executed, and will start working HHAP 4 County contracts as soon as all HHAP 3 are executed. Shawnna Flanigan also shared that once the HHAP 4 NOFA process is done and the awards are announced she will start working on the contracts. For HHAP 5 there were no updates other than the application is back with Cal ICH and HCD for an additional review.

II. UWNC

Kalie Brisbon from UWNC shared that they are looking to hire and additional HMIS administrator.

III. NorCal CoC Members – County Updates



Siskiyou County shared their newest project Siskiyou Crossroads is set to open with the ribbon cutting scheduled for July 10, 2024. The first resident will be moving in the following week. Their new micro shelter project will be starting soon.

Lassen County shared they got their Project Homekey contract fully executed and are on track to start having people move in next March. Their BHBH contract is moving forward as well. Also, the City of Susanville is now starting to use HMIS.

Plumas County/PCIRC shared they that had just done w a walk though that morning with partners of their Sexual Assault Response Team and it went very well. The project should be finished by the end of August. Also, their Workforce development project, the Dragonfly café will be opening soon.

Modoc County/TEACH Inc. shared that their BHBH grant is moving along.

Shasta County shared that their newest project Burney Commons opened with 29 units, and 26 are already filled. They also shared that they will be applying for the Encampment Grant.

6. Discussion Items for Next Meeting

- Rating and Ranking process for the Collaborative Applicant
- Review the new Data Plan tool
- PIT Administrator
- New Siskiyou County member

7. Adjournment

If requested, the agenda shall be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12132), and the federal rules and regulations adopted in implementation thereof. You may contact the City of Redding Housing Division at (530)225-4048 for disability-related modifications or accommodations, including auxiliary aids or services, in order to participate in the public meeting.

Motion to adjourn made by Carol Madison, seconded by Trish Barbieri. Vote taken, all approve, none oppose.

Next Executive Board Meetings July 18, 2024 1pm – 3pm Redding City Hall, Enterprise Conference Room

July 15, 2024

Data & Reporting Strategy Draft Overview

The NorCal CoC Executive Board is being presented with a starting framework to design and implement a regional data and evaluation strategy. The purpose of implementing a formal data strategy will be to take the HMIS farther into supporting CoC-wide decision-making including the development of an evaluation committee to oversee resource allocations.

Adopting a formal plan (and process) positions the CoC for overall program improvement as well as the ability to increase funding opportunities throughout the seven-county region.

Rationale for adopting a formal evaluative process can include:

- Making programmatic improvements in a targeted and strategic way to benefit the region
- Making important information more accessible and digestible by showing trends allowing the CoC to identify gap areas and redirect resources that better serve the client population
- Setting up an integrated approach for the CoC to conduct reviews, and be able to learn, tweak and improve the broader system of care

On an attached spreadsheet, I've created the following information for your review:

Data Plan Elements: of data elements the CoC can use to support decision making broken into the following categories (note Column D includes sample row references for quicker reading access):

- Coordinated Entry Effectiveness
- Project level performance separated by key HMIS project types
- Subpopulation analysis
- HMIS initiative locally developed measures to ensure the HMIS initiative is performing at its peak capability
- Compliance information to ensure projects are meeting all compliance requirements as reported by grant administrators
- System Level data points the CoC should do periodic reviews to ensure the local
 System Performance Measures are showing improvement

Implement Phases: I've created tabs that provide ideas to begin discussing implementation capacity and phases

SPM's Compare: A variety of tables that show System Performance Measure (SPM) trends since 2020. HUD uses the SPM's to determine how the CoC is performing in seven primary areas. The SPM's are growing in importance in the CoC competitive and State of CA funding determinations.

There are a number of HMIS data quality improvements the United Way staff is currently undertaking which should improve the next SPM submission in 2025, but for now the CoC can get an idea of what's been submitted to HUD so far.

Below the SPM's data, we've compiled data from your local compliance report (Annual Performance Report – APR) to serve as a baseline to assist with the upcoming CoC NOFO response, <u>but also for the CoC to compare to at a later time once the new HMIS Lead completes</u> data quality reviews.

Glossary: This tab that lists very basic HMIS definitions for those who are not HMIS experts in order to better understand the *Data Plan Elements* tab.

Level Up Examples: The final ta show an example of basic stats copied out of the Alameda County Centering Racial Equity in Homeless System Design strategic document to show how that CoC calculated the number of beds that would be needed to reduce regional homelessness. This is a sample only, but can be used to see relative percentages of overall beds by project type to get ideas about what NorCal can seek for local funding. I've added a link on that tab to review the Alameda resource.

Overall: The NorCal Continuum should take consider the Data Plan Proforma draft as a starting point for implementing performance targets that can be considered achievable considering the local resources. From there you can create additional ideas for measurable targets to improve on how the CoC has performed historically.

It should also be noted that the State of CA HCD office is getting ready to publish updated performance measure expectations. NorCal should access the revised information to update any evaluation plans going forward.

No formal implementation plan (or process) is being recommended within these documents, however there are resources from HUD that serve as guidance for developing a performance evaluation process within the CoC. You can use the spreadsheet accompanying this memo plus a sample of other resources forwarded to the CoC Coordinator to form an ongoing evaluation process.

Outcome Category	Other Category	Referenc e (TBD)	Performance Outcome	Performance Objective	Baseline - 2021 CA ESG-CV Outcomes	Baseline - Sept 30, 2023 NorCal HMIS	Baseline - NAEH or Other	Zoomed In	Policy Driver	Reporting Source	Visual Format (TBD - example only)	Review By & Frequency	
		CES-01	Total Persons Assessed with VISPDA	Are Assessments increasing or decreasign and why	n/a		n/a	Disparanaeted by CoC County			Tabular by Month and total		
_		CES-02	Total CES Referred to Agencies	Number referred that were accepted by	n/a		n/a	Disaggregated by CoC County Disaggregated By Race/Ethnicity		Custom CES Lead - data pull form Service Point	for quarter (example for HMIS Lead to determine)		
cks	Coordinated Entry		Total CES Referrals Accepted Total CES Placement to PH Destination	both the project and client	n/a	Unknown	n/a n/a	Disaggregated by Household Type	CES Project Evaluation	pull lotti Service Polit		CES Committee - Quarterly CoC Governance - 2x annual	
		CES-05	Total Partners attending CES Case C	Count of regional partners participating in	n/a n/a		n/a	By particating County		Custom CES Lead			
		020-00	Total Fallicins attending 020 oaso	Case Conferencing	100			by particulary county		OUSION OLO LUM			
		SO-01	Street Outreach Exits to Positive Des	tinations Determine how many persons being	14%	SO APR	n/a		CA-SPM	Compliance - APR Q23c			
		SO-02	Total Homeless Persons located on Street outreach - new CLID's each quarter from various projects	found through Street Outreach efforts are new to the HMIS and therefore may be	n/a	n/a	n/a		Local Info	Custom - SAP Business Intelligence			
		SO-03	Street Outreach Coverage	newly homeless or new to the area All counties have or develop Street Outreach efforts to ensure the entire	n/a	n/a			CoC application	Custom Data - Contract Administrator			
			RRH-01		region is being covered Are persons who are deemed literally	n/a		n/a		CA-SPM	Administrator		
			Total Enrollments into Rapid ReHousing (all services)	homeless being moved into Permanent Housing Once households are permanently	TV a		n/a			Compliance - APR Q5a			
		RRH-02	All RRH Exits to a positive destination	housed, are they retaining that housing at project exit? How many households enrolled in RRH	26%		n/a		CA-SPM/HUD SPM	Compliance - APR Q23 (Perm Situations)			
		RRH-03	Total Enrollments housed in RRH with a Housing Move-In Date	actually were moved into housing vs. how many were provided other services and did NOT move into housing	39%		n/a		CA-SPM/HUD SPM	Compliance - APR Q8a			
		RRH-04	Move In Date - Timeliness	Of those who had a move-in date, how long did it take from program enrollment	35%	RRH Projects APR (Grouped)	100		CA-SPM/HUD SPM	Complaints - 74 Tt qua			
				start? HMIS should be updated within HMIS policy expectations		Ai it (Grouped)		Break by Agency/Project and CoC County		Compliance - APR Q22f Compliance - APR Q23			
			RRH Exits	Exit to Permanent Housing Destinations How long were households served, is it			80%		CA-SPM/HUD SPM	(Categorize by All Destinations)			
Leve	Project Level	KKH-06	RRH Length of Participation	less than the 24 month max? At project end and at the annual update periods, have households shown enough			n/a		CA-SPM/HUD SPM	Compliance - APR Q22d		CoC Governance - 2x annual	
Program Level		RRH-07	RRH Increased Income for Project Stayers and or Leavers	income increase so they're able to sustain being permanently housed at			n/a		CA-SPM/HUD SPM	Compliance - APR Q17			
6,				project exit Did Households who were provided Prevention assistance (including						Start and Exit Custom Field - Contract			
		PREV-01	All Prevention households entering homeless system after HP financial assistance	financial) remain out of the homeless system of care or did they eventually move into literal homelessness?	1%	n/a	n/a		CA-SPM	Administrator			
			ES-01	All ES Exits to a positive destination	Are Shelter clients exiting to permanent housing destinations or going back to literal homelessness?	13%		n/a		CA-SPM	Compliance - APR Q23c		
			ES-02	ES Length of Program Stay	How long are shelter clients staying in	E/E interial Days =	ES Projects (APR Grouped)	n/a		CA-SPM/HUD APR	Compilation - 74 TV Q250		
				the project?	NbN Ave Days = 92	ES, TH, RRH,	100		ON OF MITTOD 74 TO	Compliance - APR Q22d			
		OV-01		Look at grouped aprs and get baseline		PSH (APR Grouped) current baseline	n/a	Project Type - Exit Destinations	HUD & State CA	Compliance - APRs Grouped by Project Type			
		OV-02	Expand PSH programming in other counties	Does NorCal have a suitable amount of PH project beds in the CoC? Are projects that offer bed stays being		from CoC HIC chart	n/a	Break by Agency/Project and CoC County	Local Info	Custom - Contract Administrator			
				well utilized or are they under or over? Projects that are under should have other performance factors evaluated, projects		HIC 2022-2023				Annual HIC upload			
		OV-10 Bed Utilization	Bed Utilization	that exceed 100% should have their HMIS data collection processes	85%-105%	Compare by Project Types	n/a	Project Level - with beds only	HUD Policy informing legitimacy of required uploads and HDIS	Note this should be reviewed semi-annual for changes			
				examined. Note that reporting HIC RRH beds are done differently than shelter beds and always report at 100%						onunges			
Subpop Art	Subpop Subpop	SP-01 SP-02	Veterans Chronic Homeless			455 1171		Percent Veterans, Chronic, Youth and Mature Homeless	HUD, VA & State CA HUD, VA & State CA	Compliance - APR 5a Compliance - APR 5a			
Mpor	Subpop Subpop	SP-03	Youth with Age Breaks Mature Homeless 55+	Client Profile numbers compared to project enrollments/exit and destinations		1251	LSA Data	of all Client records in total and who obtained PH placements	HUD, FYSB & State CA	Compliance - APR 11 Compliance - APR 11		CoC Governance - 2x annual	
	Зиорор			Hears longing in every month to maintain		1306			HUD & State CA	Compliance - AFR 11			
		HMIS-01	HMIS Users Logged in	Users logging in every month to maintain HMIS data For TH and PH projects Annual	n/a	n/a		Cut by Agency					
ative	Data Entry Engagement	HMIS-02	Annual Assessment Missing	Assessments are needed to determine improvements in income generation and health conditions	n/a	n/a		Project Specific	HUD Policy informing			HMIS Committee	
Shitte			Data entry timeliness	Three days or less for all Client Profiles AND Enrollment data 5% or less for most projects, lower for PH	n/a	n/a	HMIS Lead	Agency Overall Project Specific	legitimacy of required uploads and State of CA HDIS	Custom - HMIS Admin using agency/project stats			
HMS Initiative	HUD Compliance		Data quality - missing or DNK	projects Including Longitudinal Systems Analysis,	n/a	n/a		Project Specific					
		HMIS-05	Comments back from federal report submissions	System Performance Measures, Housing Inventory Charts and Point in Time Count submissions	n/a	n/a		Project Specific				CoC Governance - 2x annual	
2088	External Tracking	EN-01	Lived Experience	Number of recommendations acted on by	n/a	n/a		Engagement with the CoC and programming	HUD/State Policy	Custom - LEAP Report			
cocEngas	External Tracking		Stakeholder Roles Represented	CoC Governance Roles more broadly represented per the	n/a	n/a	CoC Lead	recommendations acted on; use HUD table as a guide	HUD Policy	Custom - CoC Lead		CoC Governance - 1x Annual	
	,			HUD CoC Application table					•				
diance	Agency Level	CM-01	Contract Spenddown	75% expended by Q3 end	n/a	n/a	All State and CoC funded		Contract Compliance	ustom - Contract Administra	itor	CoC Evaluation - 1x Annual	
Compliance	Agency Level	CM-02	Timely Contract Reporting	Percent of reports on time per requirement	n/a	n/a	projects	Compliance reports should be 100% submitted on time and fully completed	Contract Compliance	ustom - Contract Administra	itor		
	All HMIS clients departing the area	SY-01	Total Persons leaving NorCal CoC if known	Inflows/Outflows	n/a	n/a	n/a	Clients moving OR passing away	Local Information	Custom - ServicePoint Rpt	:		
	All NorCal Counties		•	Persons entering the homeless system with no prior n the two-year period with date created	n/a	n/a	n/a	NEW CLID's created in profile	CA-SPM	Custom - ServicePoint Rpt			
	All Projects		Total Persons able to resolve homele	client profile elements as compared to	n/a	n/a	n/a	Project Type Project Leve: Enrollments by Race		Custom - ServicePoint Rpt			
	Project and System	EQ-01	Population Groups - Race/Equity	census data	n/a		n/a	Enrollments by Race Exits by Race and to which Destination	HUD & State CA	Compliance - APR Grouped and Stella P			
ھ	System Level	Local	Total Homeless Individuals enrolled	Are new individuals entering the System of Care and if so through which channel? For instance are more folks being located	n/a		n/a	Project type by date range		Custom - ServicePoint Rpt			
System Lavel	Suntam I	CA CD4:	Household Composition	via Street Outreach or are they appearing directly at shelters? Are Family or Youth Households		See SPM's Compare tab		Drojecto by all Users-bald	s CA-SPM	Complian APP		CoC Governance - 2x annual	
Syster.	System Level System Level System Level		Household Composition Gender Breakdown Race/Ethnicity Breakdown Project En	increasing or staying consistent?	n/a n/a n/a		n/a n/a n/a	Projects by all Household types Project Types by Gender Projects by Race/Ethnicity	CA-SPM CA-SPM CA-SPM	Compliance - APR Compliance - APR Compliance - APR			
		CA-SPM	Race/Ethnicity Breakdown Project Ex	it Are families, youth, mature persons	n/a		n/a	Exits to which projects by Race	e CA-SPM	Compliance - APR			
	Project Level and G	CA-SPM	Project Exits by Subpopulation breakdowns	increasing? Are the number of persons with disabilities increasing or going down?	n/a		n/a	Exit Destination by Subtypes	CA-SPM	Compliance - APR			
	System Level	CA-SPM	Reduction average length of stay in Emergency Shelter Reduction median length of stay in	Are persons being moved through the shelter system into Permanent	65 Average Days		n/a	n/a	CA-SPM	Compliance - APR			
	System Level System Level	CA-SPM CA-SPM	Emergency Shelter Average NbN Days	Destinations and are these numbers improving?	20 Median Days 92 Average Days	ES APR Grouped	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	CA-SPM CA-SPM	Compliance - APR Compliance - APR			
	System Level	CA-SPM	Median NbN Days		75 Median Days		n/a	n/a	CA-SPM	Compliance - APR			

NorCal Continuum of Care
Draft Data & Reporting Plan - 07.15.24
Recommended for discussion only

Phase Timeline	Category	CoC Approved & Notes	Reference (TBD)	Performance Objective	Review By Frequency
	HMIS Inititiative		HMIS-02	HMIS Users Logged in Data entry timeliness Data quality - missing or DNK	HMIS Committee - Monthly
Phase I (July - September)	Coordinated Entry		CES-02 CES-03 CES-04	Total CES Referrals Accepted Total Partners attending CES Case Conferencing Sessions	CES Committee - Quarterly CoC Governance - 2x annual
	Contract Compliance		CM-01 CM-02	Contract Spendown Timely Contract Reporting	CoC Governance - 75% of contract year
Phase II (January - March)	Programming		RRH-01 RRH-03 RRH-02 RRH-04 RRH-05 RRH-06 RRH-07 PREV-01 ES-01 ES-02	Street Outreach Exits to Postive Destinations Total Homeless Persons located on Street outreach - new CLID's coming from all project types Street Outreach Street Outreach Coverage Successful Project Placements from Street Outreach Total Enrollments into Rapid ReHousing (all services) All RRH Exits to a postive destination Total Enrollments housed in RRH with a Housing Move-In Date Move In Date - Timeliness RRH Exits RRH Length of Participation RRH Increased Income for Project Stayers and or Leavers All Prevention entering homeless system after HP financial assistan All ES Exits to a postive destination ES Length of Program Stay Project Exits by Destination Category Expand PSH programming in other counties Bed Utilization	Governance - 2x Annual
Phase III (June - September)	Subpop Analysis		SP-01 SP-04 SP-02 SP-03	Veterans Chronic Homeless Youth with Age Breaks Mature Homeless 55+	Governance - 2x Annual
	CoC Engagement		EN-01 EN-02	Lived Experience Stakeholder Roles Represented	Governance - 2x Annual

Red	font	indicates	performing	noteably	/ Word
1 VCU	IUIIL	IIIulcates	perioriting	Hoteably	/ WOIS

				ates periorining	
Measure 1 - Length of Time Persons Homeless		2020	2021	2022	2023
Metric - in ES or SH	Average LOS	81	184	130	126
Similar Sized CoC's (ES, SH)	Average LOS	65	58	51	56
Metric - in ES or SH	Madian LOS	63	154	65	72
Similar Sized CoC's (ES, SH)	Median LOS	30	28	28	29
		1.10	201	000	170
Metric - in ES, SH TH	Average LOS	146	221	208	172
Similar Sized CoC's ES, SH TH	G	97	84	68	73
Metric - in ES, SH TH	Median LOS	97	168	100	94
Similar Sized CoC's ES, SH TH		40	32	33	34
Measure 2 - Returns to Homelessness					Ret
6 mos. Returns		2%	1%	8%	8%
Similar Sized CoC's		8%	8%	7%	7%
12 mos. Returns		3%	5%	10%	6%
Similar Sized CoC's		12%	11%	10%	11%
24 mos. Returns		11%	8%	16%	9%
Similar Sized CoC's		16%	15%	15%	16%
Measure 3 - Is Homeless Rare?					
		0440/		00/	
Peduction in number who experience homelessne		3110/2	20%	.30%	53%
Reduction in number who experience homelessne	ess	311%	20% 16%	-3%	53% 1%
Similar Sized CoC's	ess -	15%	16%	43%	1%
Similar Sized CoC's PIT Change from Prior Years	- -	15% 13%	16% -48%	43% 132%	1% 37%
Similar Sized CoC's	- -	15%	16%	43%	1%
Similar Sized CoC's PIT Change from Prior Years Similar Sized CoC's	-	15% 13%	16% -48%	43% 132%	1% 37%
Similar Sized CoC's PIT Change from Prior Years Similar Sized CoC's	- -	15% 13%	16% -48%	43% 132%	1% 37%
Similar Sized CoC's PIT Change from Prior Years Similar Sized CoC's Measure 4 - Income Growth	ss	15% 13% -3%	16% -48% -33%	43% 132% 69%	1% 37% 9%
Similar Sized CoC's PIT Change from Prior Years Similar Sized CoC's Measure 4 - Income Growth Percent Stayers Increasing		15% 13% -3%	16% -48% -33%	43% 132% 69%	1% 37% 9%
Similar Sized CoC's PIT Change from Prior Years Similar Sized CoC's Measure 4 - Income Growth Percent Stayers Increasing Similar Sized CoC's		15% 13% -3% 0% 32%	16% -48% -33% 0% 33%	43% 132% 69% 0% 30%	1% 37% 9% 10% 31%
Similar Sized CoC's PIT Change from Prior Years Similar Sized CoC's Measure 4 - Income Growth Percent Stayers Increasing Similar Sized CoC's Percent Leavers Increasing Similar Sized CoC's		15% 13% -3% 0% 32% 0%	16% -48% -33% 0% 33% 0%	43% 132% 69% 0% 30% 0%	1% 37% 9% 10% 31% 11%
Similar Sized CoC's PIT Change from Prior Years Similar Sized CoC's Measure 4 - Income Growth Percent Stayers Increasing Similar Sized CoC's Percent Leavers Increasing Similar Sized CoC's Measure 5 - Persons Experiencing for the First	: Time	15% 13% -3% 0% 32% 0%	16% -48% -33% 0% 33% 0%	43% 132% 69% 0% 30% 0%	1% 37% 9% 10% 31% 11%
Similar Sized CoC's PIT Change from Prior Years Similar Sized CoC's Measure 4 - Income Growth Percent Stayers Increasing Similar Sized CoC's Percent Leavers Increasing Similar Sized CoC's		15% 13% -3% 0% 32% 0% 37%	16% -48% -33% 0% 33% 0% 35%	43% 132% 69% 0% 30% 0% 36%	1% 37% 9% 10% 31% 11% 39%
Similar Sized CoC's PIT Change from Prior Years Similar Sized CoC's Measure 4 - Income Growth Percent Stayers Increasing Similar Sized CoC's Percent Leavers Increasing Similar Sized CoC's Measure 5 - Persons Experiencing for the First Percent Change in 1st Time Homeless	: Time	15% 13% -3% 0% 32% 0% 37%	16% -48% -33% 0% 33% 0% 35%	43% 132% 69% 0% 30% 0% 36%	1% 37% 9% 10% 31% 11% 39%

Percent Successful Street Outreach Placement Similar Sized CoC's	SO only	31% 99%	95% 105%	104% 82%	119% 70%
Percent Successful from ES, TH and RRH	EC TU Ø DDU	50%	47%	48%	45%
Similar Sized CoC's	ES, TH & RRH	47%	41%	33%	30%
Percent Successful or Retention from PSH	PSH Only	100% 95%	98% 95%	98% 94%	96% 94%

Compare to HIC for CA-516

Level Up Calculator Examples

Alameda Project Type Compare:	Single Adults page 33	Percent of Total	With Minor Children page 38	Percent of Total
Homeless Prevention	368	2.5%	22	2.5%
Crisis Response (ES, TH)	1890	13.0%	159	18.3%
Transitional Housing for Youth	295	2.0%	108	12.5%
Rapid ReHousing	3166	21.7%	72	8.3%
Permanent Supportive Housing	2357	16.2%	217	25.0%
PSH for Seniors	1273	8.7%	0	0.0%
Dedicated Affordable Housing	3565	24.5%	0	0.0%
Shallow Subsidy	1655	11.4%	289	33.3%
Totals	14569	100.0%	867	100.0%

remots, Dedicated Attordable Housing, and Shallow Subsidies.

Scenario 1 Entire CoC 5-Year Inventory Needs, Households with Only Adults									
	Year 1 (2021)	Year 2 (2022)	Year 3 (2023)	Year 4 (2024)	Year 5 (2025)				
Homeless Prevention / Rapid Resolution	194	260	318	368	373				
Crisis Response (ES, TH, SH)	997	1,335	1,633	1,890	1,915				
Transitional Housing for Youth	155	208	255	295	298				
Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)	1,672	2,237	2,736	3,166	3,208				
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	1,244	1,665	2,037	2,357	2,388				
PSH for Seniors	777	1,041	1,273	1,473	1,492				
Dedicated Affordable Housing	2,176	2,914	3,565	4,124	4,178				
Shallow Subsidy	1,010	1,353	1,655	1,915	1,939				

Figure 17. Scenario 1 Entire CoC 5-Vear Inventory Needs Households with Only Adults

Level Up Calculator: Households wit	evel Up Calculator: Households with Minor Children										
What type and amount of investment is needed to	What type and amount of investment is needed to maximize exisiting resources and balance the system inventory?										
	Ideal Ratio to Crisis Response	2020 Inventory	Ideal # Units	Surge units for longstayers	Total additional units (level up + longstayers)	Level up cost	How close is the current system to the ideal ratio?				
Homeless Prevention/Rapid Resolution	14%	(available utilits)	22	longstayers	19	\$336.273	14%				
Crisis Response (ES and TH)	100%	159	159		0	\$0	100%				
Rapid Re-Housing	68%	102	108		6	\$142,602	94%				
Permanent Supportive Housing	45%	61	72	246	258	\$7,846,718	19%				
Dedicated Affordable Housing	136%	0	217	0	217	\$5,609,086	0%				
Shallow Subsidy	182%	0	289	0	289	\$4,423,091	0%				
Total	Total Units	325	867	246	789	\$18,357,770	37%				
	Total HH served	650	723	246	605						



Kristen Schreder, Chair Executive Board NorCal Continuum of Care

RE: Designation of a Continuum of Care (CoC) Executive Board Member

Dear Ms. Schreder,

Previously, the Siskiyou County Advisory Board designated Sarah Collard as their representative on the NorCal CoC 516 Executive Board. Due to Dr. Collard's resignation, we are pleased to nominate Maddelyn Bryan as the new Executive Board Member.

Ms. Bryan has been an active participant of the NorCal CoC Siskiyou County Advisory Board since 2020 and currently serves on both the HMIS and PIT Committees. During a meeting on July 17, 2024, the Siskiyou County Advisory Board elected Ms. Bryan to fill the Executive Board Membership position.

As the Housing Coordinator for Siskiyou County Health and Human Services Agency (SCHHSA), Ms. Bryan is knowledgeable about the housing needs of the NorCal CoC and has a comprehensive understanding of the services available to persons experiencing, or at risk of, homelessness. She has over three years of experience coordinating housing projects funded through myriad state and federal grant programs.

Please accept this letter as a formal request to assign Ms. Bryan as Siskiyou County's representative on the Executive Board, effective July 18, 2024. You may contact me at (530) 598-9663 or email me at dkegg@ci.yreka.ca.us with any questions. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Duane Kegg, Chair Siskiyou County Advisory Board NorCal Continuum of Care