



Shasta County Advisory Board of the

**NorCal  
Continuum of Care™**

**Shasta County Advisory Board Meeting  
May 12, 2026, 3:00 PM-5:00 PM  
Shasta County Office of Education  
2985 Innsbruck Dr, Redding, CA 96003**

- 1. Call to Order/Reminder of Brown Act.**
- 2. Introductions/Establish Quorum.**

<b>Voting Members</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Alvey Rachel- One Safe Place</li> <li>2. Azare, Collier- Nation's Finest</li> <li>3. Chimenti, Joe-Emergent</li> <li>4. Danielson, Tim - New Life Discovery</li> <li>5. Disney, Jaclyn-NVCSS</li> <li>6. Howard, Jannamarie-Redding Rancheria</li> <li>7. Joyce, Maggie - SCOE</li> <li>8. Kerr, Emily-HHSA</li> <li>9. Knepp, Kassandra-NorCal LSS</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10. Longwell, Wendy-DAC</li> <li>11. McDuffy, Laura- City of Redding</li> <li>12. Middleton, Amber – SCHC</li> <li>13. Preller, Megan – Ready for Life</li> <li>14. Ray, Major Tammy-Salvation Army</li> <li>15. Schaefer, Lesha – Pathways/Housing</li> <li>16. Sevilla, Art – Empire Recovery</li> <li>17. Spencer, Crystal – FaithWorks</li> <li>18. Watson Stacy-Hill Country</li> <li>19. Zander, Hollie - CRDC</li> </ol>

**3. Action Items**

**A. Approval of Meeting Minutes.**

- Board Members will review and approve minutes from the April 10, 2026, meeting (attachment 1)

**B. Membership**

- Paul Abbott has resigned as a voting member for Shasta Thrive, email sent 4/10/26

**4. Public Comments (limited to 3 minutes per speaker)**

- Members of the public will have the opportunity to address the Advisory Board on any issue within the jurisdiction of the Advisory Board. Speakers will be limited to three minutes.

**5. New Business**

*Action*

- A. Consideration of Convening a Workgroup to Develop Coordinated Entry Decision Flow- Matt Plummer.** The Shasta Advisory will consider the formation of a temporary workgroup to develop a standardized decision flow for Coordinated Entry System cases in Shasta County. The workgroup would map common household and housing scenarios to appropriate system responses and partner responsibilities to improve consistency and speed of service delivery. Discussion may include membership, scope, and meeting schedule for the proposed workgroup.

- B. Review and Approve the Shasta County Encampment Engagement Procedure draft (attachment 2)**

Each of the six counties in the NorCal CoC are reviewing draft Encampment Engagement Policies or Procedures for adoption in compliance with California Housing and Community Development (HCD) criteria, which is a requirement to qualify for State HHAP 6 funding. Siskiyou County policy is compliant.

The Shasta County Encampment Engagement Procedure draft was submitted to HCD for review. The attached draft includes the recommended information consistent with HCD criteria.

**C. Approval of the updated Shasta CoC Advisory Board Addendum to the NorCal CoC Governance Charter, Shasta CoC Advisory Board Application Standards, and Thresholds.**  
(attachment 3)

***Discussion***

- A. Unmet needs-Tim Danielson
- B. Development of Youth Action Board (attachment 4, item #6)
- C. Emergency Transfer Plan Policy (attachment 4, item #7)

**6. Reports.**

- A. Executive Board Report
- B. Administrative Entity T.E.A.C.H (Attachment 4)
- C. County/City
- D. NorCal CoC PIT Committee
- E. Strategic Planning AdHoc Update
- F. HMIS Committee
- G. Member Announcement

**7. Discussion Items for Next Meeting**

**8. Adjournment**

Next Meetings:

Advisory Board Meeting: June 9, 2026, 3-5 pm at 2985 Innsbruck Dr, Redding, CA 96003  
Executive Board Regular Meeting May 21, 2026, 1-3, Virtual



**Shasta County Advisory Board Meeting**  
**April 14, 2026, 3:00 PM-5:00 PM**  
**Shasta County Office of Education**  
**2985 Innsbruck Dr, Redding, CA 96003**

**1. Call to Order/Reminder of Brown Act.**

A meeting of the Shasta Advisory Board was called to order at 3:06 p.m. by Chair Maggie Joyce. A reminder of the Brown Act was provided.

**2. Introductions/Establish Quorum.**

Quorum was established, and introductions were completed.

<b>Voting Members</b>	
1. Abbott, Paul – Shasta Thrive	11. Longwell, Wendy-DAC
2. Alvey Rachel- One Safe Place	12. McDuffy, Laura- City of Redding
3. Azare, Collier- Nation’s Finest	13. Middleton, Amber – SCHC
4. Chimenti, Joe-Emergent	14. Preller, Megan – Ready for Life
5. Danielson, Tim -	15. Ray, Major Tammy-Salvation Army
6. Disney, Jaclyn-NVCSS	16. Schaefer, Lesha – Pathways/Housing
7. Howard, Jannamarie-Redding Rancheria	17. Sevilla, Art – Empire Recovery
8. Joyce, Maggie - SCOE	18. Spencer, Crystal – FaithWorks
9. Kerr, Emily-HHSA	19. Watson Stacy-Hill Country
10. Knepp, Kassandra-NorCal LSS	20. Zander, Hollie - CRDC

**3. Action Items**

**A. Approval of Meeting Minutes**

Lesha Schaefer made a motion to approve the meeting minutes from March 10th, 2026, Joe Chimenti seconded the motion. All were in favor

**B. Membership**

Wendy Longwell made a motion to approve Tim Danielson as a voting member for

**4. Public Comments (limited to 3 minutes per speaker)**

A represented from Rebound Rebrand, a newly established nonprofit organization, introduced the organization and shared its mission to support young adults ages 18–25 who have experienced incarceration, violence, or instability during their upbringing. The speaker explained that the organization recently obtained nonprofit status in November 2025 and is currently working toward obtaining charitable trust funding and additional nonprofit certifications. Agency is asking that anyone who has in insight please reach out. Website is rebounderbrand.com

Additional public comments included Announcement of FaithWorks Walk-A-Thon on May 23<sup>rd</sup> Text faithworks26 to 33100 for more information

**5. New Business**

**Action**

A. Review, provide feedback and and approve proposed draft Encampment Engagement Policy for compliance with HHAP 6 Application Requirement to Address Encampments and Adoption of Encampment Policies to sent to HCD.

- a. **Determine what is needed to prepare and adopt an Encampment Engagement Policy for Shasta County ie, what is the process for approval and who would be the approving entity.** background regarding HHAP 6 application requirements and HCD’s requirement that each participating county develop or adopt an Encampment Engagement Policy. It was noted that all counties within the NorCal

CoC originally indicated willingness to develop policies, with varying timelines for completion.

Discussion included clarification that HCD is requiring each county to have an encampment engagement policy in place in order to satisfy HHAP 6 funding requirements. Siskiyou County was noted as already having an approved encampment removal policy, with an additional outreach component recently submitted to satisfy HCD requirements.

Board members reviewed the updated draft Encampment Engagement Policy developed by T.E.A.C.H., Inc. and discussed whether the document should function as a formal policy, a best-practice framework, or a procedural guidance document. Concerns were raised regarding implementation responsibilities, particularly around the role of law enforcement, outreach teams, notice requirements, shelter availability, and handling of personal belongings.

Members emphasized that many outreach providers do not control encampment removals or enforcement activities, which are conducted by local jurisdictions and law enforcement agencies. Discussion focused on ensuring the document appropriately references existing city and county policies without creating obligations outside the authority of service providers or the Advisory Board.

Several members expressed concern regarding accountability requirements tied to HHAP 6 funding and whether noncompliance by one entity could impact funding for the broader CoC. Discussion also addressed the practical limitations outreach agencies face in maintaining real-time shelter inventories or responding within required notice timelines.

Consensus emerged that:

The document should remain general and flexible.

Existing county and city encampment-related policies should be referenced rather than recreated.

The Advisory Board and CoC should acknowledge and align with existing jurisdictional policies while outlining best practices for outreach providers.

Separate policies or procedures may ultimately be needed for county/city entities

**b. Discuss and determine a proposed timeline and next steps needed to adopt an Encampment Engagement Policy for Shasta County.**

The Board discussed next steps and timelines for revising the draft policy. It was agreed that:

A revised the draft to incorporate references to existing city and county policies.

The revised draft would clarify the distinction between outreach provider responsibilities and local government enforcement responsibilities.

The updated draft would be reviewed internally by appropriate county and city representatives prior to returning to the Advisory Board.

The draft may also be shared with HCD in advance for preliminary feedback to ensure compliance with HHAP 6 requirements before formal adoption.

Members requested that future revisions be circulated in advance of meetings to allow agencies to provide written comments and suggested edits ahead of time rather than conducting line-by-line edits during meetings.

The item will return at a future meeting for further review and potential action.

***Discussion***

**A. Virtual option for future Shasta Advisory meetings**

- a. Follow up and updates from T.E.A.C.H and other countiesa. Follow-up and Updates from T.E.A.C.H. and Other Counties

follow-up research regarding whether the Shasta Advisory Board is legally required to operate under the Brown Act and how other Continuums of Care (CoCs) handle virtual participation.

- Research included outreach to Tehama County, Sacramento County, and consultation with legal and technical assistance contacts. Several counties indicated in their

governance charters that their councils are not subject to the Brown Act but still strive to maintain transparency and accountability.

- Discussion included interpretation of Brown Act applicability, specifically whether the Advisory Board qualifies as a legislative body under current definitions.
- Members noted that different counties operate differently:

Tehama County allows broad virtual participation and voting.

Butte County requires in-person attendance for voting meetings.

Siskiyou County generally requires in-person participation for voting, though practices vary.

- Members discussed potential benefits of moving away from Brown Act requirements, including:
  - Increased flexibility for virtual and hybrid participation.
  - Improved ability to schedule meetings and make decisions efficiently.
  - Reduced barriers for smaller agencies and rural participants.
- Concerns discussed included:
  - Maintaining transparency and public trust.
  - Attendance expectations and accountability for voting members.
  - Potential reduction in in-person participation and engagement.
- Members emphasized the importance of continuing public transparency practices such as posting agendas and meeting minutes regardless of Brown Act status.
- Discussion included the possibility of adopting a hybrid participation model with attendance guidelines, including camera requirements for virtual participation.
- Members also discussed the operational challenges of Brown Act compliance within a seven-county CoC region.
- It was noted that the Executive Board would need to review and discuss any governance or Brown Act changes before further action is taken.

Action Item: Tim will place the discussion regarding Brown Act status and virtual meeting options on a future Executive Board agenda.

## 6. Reports.

### A. Executive Board

Discussion included future Executive Board review of Brown Act applicability and virtual meeting procedures.

### B. Administrative Entity T.E.A.C.H (Attachment 5)

- updates on HMIS, PIT/HIC reporting, coordinated entry, Youth Action Board development, Emergency Transfer Plan (ETP) work, and state funding updates.
- Members were reminded to respond promptly to future clarification requests regarding PIT and HIC data.
- T.E.A.C.H. plans to implement quarterly check-in reporting to improve data accuracy and reduce end-of-year reporting burdens.

Upcoming Data Performance Workgroup topics include:

Chronic homelessness definitions.

Prevention eligibility.

Rapid rehousing.

Street outreach data quality.

Coordinated Entry meetings continue regularly and are improving interagency collaboration and problem-solving.

Updates were provided regarding:

Development of the North State CoC Youth Action Board using the Shasta Homeless Youth Alliance model.

### C. County/City

No formal County/City report provided.

### D. NorCal CoC PIT Committee

Committee members discussed:

Finalizing PIT/HIC data collection and reporting.

Developing systems for ongoing quarterly data updates to reduce future reporting delays.

Need for regional coordination and shared responsibility for gathering housing inventory data.

Members discussed lessons learned from this year's PIT process and plans for improving future counts.

### E. Strategic Planning AdHoc Update

Committee reviewed and discussed policies related to homelessness prioritization and housing options.

Additional edits and recommendations are still being developed and will continue at future meetings.

### F. HMIS Committee

The committee reported progress in improving data quality and tracking within HMIS. Efforts are ongoing to update veteran eligibility information and improve coordinated entry workflows. Members were encouraged to attend Coordinated Entry meetings to support collaboration and improve service coordination.

G. **Member Announcement**

Reminder provided regarding the upcoming Project Homeless Connect event at Shasta High School on May 11.

Volunteers are still needed for the event, particularly individual volunteers.

7. **Discussion Items for Next Meeting**

Discussion regarding unmet needs and priority service gaps in Shasta County.

Continued discussion regarding Brown Act applicability and virtual meeting policies.

Strategic Planning policy recommendations.

8. **Adjournment**

**4:54**



# Encampment Engagement Procedure

*Prepared in compliance with Cal ICH Guidance · HHAP Round 6 · Governor's Model Encampment Ordinance · Shasta County Marsha Policy 420 · 428 Shasta County Sheriff's Office Homeless Persons Policy · Redding Department Policy 426 Homeless Persons*

Applicable Counties: • **Shasta**

---

## CONTENTS

- 1. Purpose
  - 2. Guiding Principles
  - 3. Encampment Identification and Assessment
  - 4. Outreach and Engagement Protocols
  - 5. Law Enforcement Interaction
  - 6. Housing and Service Pathways
  - 7. Data Tracking
  - 8. Interagency Coordination
  - 9. Monitoring and Evaluation
    - A. County-Specific Appendix
    - B. HHAP 6 Compliance Checklist
- 

## Seven Components

- 1. Person-Centered, Housing First, and Trauma-Informed Approach
  - 2. Maintain Awareness of Interim Housing
  - 3. Respectful Treatment of Personal Property
  - 4. Advanced Notice to Encampment Residents
  - 5. Awareness of Ongoing Efforts Serving the Encampment
  - 6. Offer Shelter before Removal
  - 7. Health and Safety Prioritization
- 

## SECTION 1 — PURPOSE

---

The purpose of this procedure is to establish a humane, coordinated, and legally compliant framework for addressing homelessness and encampments within Shasta County.

This procedure ensures compliance with:

- California Interagency Council on Homelessness (Cal ICH) Encampment Guidance
- Homeless Housing, Assistance and Prevention (HHAP) Round 6 requirements
- Housing First principles

Best practices reflected in Shasta County Marshal Policy 420 regarding interactions with homeless individuals, 428 Shasta County Sheriff's Office Homeless Persons Policy, and Redding Police Department Policy 426 Homeless Persons.

The primary goal is to transition individuals living in encampments into safe housing while maintaining public health, safety, and community wellbeing.

---

## **SECTION 2 – GUIDING PRINCIPLES**

---

### **2.1 HOUSING FIRST**

Encampment response efforts prioritize placement into permanent or interim housing without preconditions, and to assist with resource connection.

### **2.2 EQUAL SERVICE AND NON-DISCRIMINATION**

Individuals experiencing homelessness shall receive the same level and quality of service as any other member of the community, regardless of housing status.

### **2.3 PROBLEM-SOLVING APPROACH**

Whenever possible, our Housing and Outreach teams will prioritize long-term solutions such as housing placement, shelter referrals, and supportive services.

### **2.4 COMMUNITY-ORIENTED ENGAGEMENT**

Housing and Community outreach teams will make contacts with individuals experiencing homelessness for the purposes of assistance, welfare checks, and resource referrals.

### **2.5 PERSON-CENTERED, TRAUMA-INFORMED CARE APPROACH**

All outreach activities should follow trauma-informed and person-centered practices. Individuals experiencing homelessness are treated with dignity and respect. Staff recognize encampments as people's living spaces and engage accordingly.

---

### **2.6 ECAMPMENT CLEAN-UP**

Encampment cleanup is guided by County and City policy. Please refer to the correct policy, determined by the encampment location, for standards of practice and guidance.

### **SECTION 3 – ENCAMPMENT IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT**

Each county will maintain a current inventory of known encampments including location and size, population estimates, health and safety risks, service needs, and environmental impacts. Data will be tracked through GIS mapping, Coordinated Entry records, and outreach team reports. Rural encampments, which frequently relocate, will be monitored through regular outreach team check-ins.

---

### **SECTION 4 – OUTREACH AND ENGAGEMENT PROTOCOLS**

Outreach will be conducted by street outreach teams, behavioral health providers, Peer Support Specialists, law enforcement community engagement officers (as appropriate), FQHCs, and nonprofit outreach organizations. Staff will not conduct encampment outreach alone. Teams must conduct documented engagement efforts, including: Needs assessments, Coordinated Entry Enrollment, review HMIS history, housing navigation, behavioral health referrals, benefits enrollment and shelter and housing placement offers before each visit, and will follow Housing First and harm reduction approaches in all engagements. Repeated engagement attempts should be made prior to displacement when possible.

---

#### **4.1. CONDUCTING OUTREACH**

Encampment outreach should be conducted respectfully and in alignment with service models.

Staff should recognize they are entering someone's living space and engage respectfully.

When approaching an encampment:

- Ask permission before entering or approaching.
- Greet residents and ask if they are willing to speak.
- Avoid making promises regarding services or housing.

Outreach should follow:

- A Housing First approach.
  - A Harm Reduction model when offering services and support.
-

#### 4.2 SAFETY IN ENCAMPMENTS

Staff safety is the highest priority during outreach.

- If a situation appears unsafe or feels unsafe, staff should not proceed and should consult a supervisor.

Staff Will:

- Keep their partner within eyesight at all times.
  - Maintain awareness of surroundings, including individuals not immediately visible and any pets.
  - Watch for signs of discomfort or hostility, such as body language indicating staff are unwelcome.
  - Staff should not bring personal belongings into encampments.
  - Staff must follow the guidance of outreach team leads.
- 

#### 4.3 SERVICE APPROACH

Outreach services will be:

- Person-centered
- Trauma-informed
- Voluntary
- Housing-focused
- Low-barrier

Staff should also offer residents entry into the Coordinated Entry system through the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) when appropriate. Assess immediate needs.

---

## SECTION 5 — LAW ENFORCEMENT INTERACTION

---

Local law enforcement agencies may play a supportive role in coordinated responses to unsheltered encampments when appropriate and consistent with their respective policies, available resources, and legal obligations. Law enforcement involvement may include:

- participation in interagency coordination
- communication with outreach teams
- assistance in maintaining public safety where conditions warrant

Nothing in this procedure is intended to assign primary responsibility for encampment response activities to law enforcement agencies or to require enforcement action. Decisions regarding engagement, level of participation, and operational response remain within the discretion of the applicable law enforcement agency and shall be guided by agency protocol, applicable law, and situational considerations.

Where relevant, agencies may reference applicable internal guidance, including 420 Shasta County Marshal's Office Policy, 428 Shasta County Sheriff's Office Homeless Persons Policy, and 426 Redding Police Department Policy Homeless Persons, and local regulations such as the Shasta County Camping Ordinance, when determining an appropriate response. Please refer to the correct policy for encampment, location and workflow.

## **SECTION 6— HOUSING AND SERVICE PATHWAYS**

---

Encampment residents will be connected by referrals to housing placements including when available:

### **Interim Housing**

- emergency shelters
- navigation centers
- micro shelters

### **Permanent Housing**

- permanent supportive housing
- rapid rehousing
- housing subsidies
- shared housing programs

### **Service Coordination and Engagement**

Outreach efforts should emphasize meaningful engagement and coordinated care.

- Engagement should be intentional and relationship-based.
- Services should meet residents where they are, including bringing providers such as street medicine teams directly to encampments.
- Individuals with acute or chronic health conditions should be prioritized for rapid housing placement.

Collaborate with community partners including:

- Health Care for the Homeless programs
- Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs)
- Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinics (CCBHCs)

---

## **SECTION 7— DATA TRACKING**

---

Teams will track and report: number of individuals contacted, service referrals, shelter placements, permanent housing placements, and demographic equity data. Reporting will occur through HMIS, HHAP annual reports, and regional homelessness action plans.

## **SECTION 8— INTERAGENCY COORDINATION**

---

Encampment response will involve collaboration among local government, the Continuum of Care lead agency, housing providers, behavioral health agencies, public health departments, law enforcement, nonprofit outreach organizations, FQHCs, and CCBHCs.

---

## **SECTION 9 — MONITORING AND EVALUATION**

---

Performance metrics will include: reductions in unsheltered homelessness (Point in Time Count), housing placement rates, successful housing retention rates, number of encampment engagements completed in full compliance with this procedure, and percentage of individuals offered shelter prior to displacement.

---

## **SECTION 10 — PROCEDURE REVIEW**

---

This procedure will be reviewed annually to ensure continued compliance with local operational practices in each of the seven counties, state guidance from HCD and Cal ICH, client and community needs, and any updates to the Governor's Model Encampment Ordinance or HHAP requirements.

This procedure was guided by local County and City existing homeless polices that set guidance for encampments.

**APPENDIX A – COUNTY-SPECIFIC INFORMATION**

---

Each CoC must complete the following fields.

<b>County Name</b>	
<b>Lead Agency Contact</b>	
<b>Lead Contact Title</b>	
<b>Lead Contact Phone / Email</b>	_____
<b>Law Enforcement Agency</b>	_____
<b>Law Enforcement Policy Reference</b>	Policy # _____
<b>Local Camping Ordinance</b>	Ordinance # _____
<b>Personal Property Storage Location</b>	_____
<b>Property Retrieval Contact</b>	_____
<b>Shelter / Motel Voucher Contact</b>	_____
<b>Coordinated Entry Point of Contact</b>	_____
<b>Internal Approval Authority</b>	_____

**APPENDIX B – HHAP 6 COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST**

---

This checklist confirms the policy addresses all seven required Cal ICH components. To be completed by T.E.A.C.H., Inc. prior to HCD submission.

- Component 1 – Person-centered, Housing First, trauma-informed approach
- Component 2 – Awareness of interim housing capacity
- Component 3 – Respectful treatment of personal property
- Component 4 – Advance notice to encampment residents (48 hours minimum)
- Component 5 – Awareness of ongoing efforts serving the encampment

---

Component 6 – Offer of shelter before removal

---

Component 7 – Health and safety prioritization

## Shasta CoC Advisory Board Addendum to the NorCal CoC Governance Charter

### Shasta CoC Advisory Board Application Standards and Thresholds.

All Applicants must meet the following threshold requirements.

1. Be a voting member in good standing
2. Service providers and counties receiving CoC funding must actively participate in the PIT/HIC count. In the alternative, applicant could partner with agency that does currently meet PIT participation requirements.
3. Actively collect and enter and maintain HMIS data, (if applicable) including, but not limited to the following:
  - a. Provide timely and accurate HMIS data input, based on current HMIS user policy;
  - b. Provide timely responses to data requests; and/or
  - c. Applicant may partner with an agency that does currently collect and enter HMIS data. The partner Agency must be listed in the application.
4. Participate in Coordinated Entry, per user agreement as referenced in 24 CFR 578.7 (if applicable);
5. **Be a member or participate in at least one NorCal CoC committee or one Shasta CoC Advisory subcommittee**
6. Provide the following completed certifications or other notifications, with the funding application or NOFA;
  - a. Advisory Board certification of member status;
  - b. Point in Time Participation certification;
  - c. HMIS certification of project participation;
  - d. Coordinated Entry certification, if applicable; and,
  - e. **NorCal CoC committee or Shasta CoC Advisory subcommittee membership/participation.**
7. Applications can be submitted for projects that align with the eligible uses, however applications will score additional points if they can demonstrate how their project aligns with the adopted Shasta CoC Advisory Strategic Plan Goals, and when strategic, braid funds together with the funding of other local entities.
8. All applicants will be required to provide a presentation of their project to the Shasta CoC Advisory Board. If a presentation is not presented, your application will not be eligible for funding consideration.
  - a. After the release of the NOFA and before the application deadline, the Shasta CoC Advisory Board will schedule time for each agency seeking project funding to provide a presentation of their proposed application. Presentations must include the following to be eligible to apply for funding:
    - i. Total project budget, with itemization of how funds will be used
    - ii. Identify the eligible use category for this application
    - iii. Total funding requested in application
    - iv. Details of how project prioritizes strategic plan goal
    - v. Other sources of braided funding, if applicable.
    - vi. How many people this project anticipates to serve
  - b. The applicants will have the opportunity to collaborate and the option to make project budget modifications following the presentation process.

- c. If an applicant submits an application requesting funds greater than previously presented to the CoC, their application will only be considered for the amount that was originally presented or provide an explanation.
- d. If the submitted applications exceed the available funding amount, the applications will move to a rating and ranking committee for final recommendations to be presented to the Shasta Advisory Board for final approval. The Rating and Ranking Committee will use the following criteria maximum point metrics.

Experience	20
Capacity	20
Design	15
Impact/effectiveness	20
Funding plan	20
Shasta Strategic plan/grant Specific Set Aside	5

- 9. Grant Sub-recipient threshold requirements
  - a. If a Grant Sub-recipient is not maintaining threshold requirements they will not be eligible to receive remaining funds until requirements are met, or will be required to repay the funds, as outlined in the contract. Funds will be redistributed based on state and Federal program guidelines to other eligible grantees **at the direction of the Shasta CoC Advisory Board.**
  - b. If a Grant Subrecipient does not maintain established threshold requirements, the Subrecipient will be notified and provided an opportunity to cure the deficiency. Failure to meet requirements may result in suspension of remaining funds, ineligibility for future funding, and/or repayment of funds, consistent with the terms of the contract.

**T.E.A.C.H., Inc. NorCal CoC Update**  
**May 12, 2026**

**1. 2026 PIT and HIC Update**

Pathways submitted the HIC and PIT to HUD HDX by the April 30 deadline. HUD review and confirmation of 2026 HIC and PIT will take a few months. The next NorCal CoC PIT Committee Meeting is May 19, 2026 8:45-9:45.

**2. 2026 HUD Notice of Funding Opportunity**

It is anticipated that the HUD 2026 NOFO will be released May 29, 2026.

**3. HHAP Funding Distribution**

**HHAP 4** - HHAP 4 Funding Agreements are currently being sent to agencies to allocate the remainder disbursement. Total HHAP 4 Shasta CoC allocation is \$908,603.00. Shasta County allocation is \$852,466.06.

**HHAP 5** – T.E.A.C.H., Inc. received the initial half of funds from the City of Redding to allow distribution of funds to the CoC, Modoc, Plumas and Sierra Counties. FaithWorks was approved to receive the Shasta CoC allocation of \$1,249,625.71. The initial 50% will be distributed by June 30, 2026. HHAP 5 CoC Funding Agreements will be distributed in May. Shasta County receives a direct allocation from HCD in the amount of \$1,397,559.41.

**HHAP 6** – Six of the seven counties are working on adopting county specific Encampment Engagement Policies that are compliant with the Cal ICH criteria and meets with HCD approval by the HCD imposed deadline of June 30, 2026. Siskiyou County policy complies with State criteria. Total HHAP 6 funding is \$5.8. Shasta CoC allocation - \$1,083,071.41, Shasta County allocation - \$1,144,365.08

**4. Governance Charter Work Group**

The draft Governance Charter was circulated to the Advisory Boards for review and approval through April 2026. The final approval will be scheduled for the May 21, 2026 Executive Board meeting.

**5. Data/Performance Work Group**

Next scheduled meeting is May 28, 2026 1:00 to 2:30

May 28, 2026 Data Performance Meeting topics

1. How Transitional Housing works and the new guidance on converting from Rapid Rehousing to Transitional Housing.
2. Review CA System Performance Measure (SPM) outcome data that should be released soon
3. Review HIC bed count and bed utilization across programs
4. Review data quality in federal reports to ensure that bed counts and client enrollment numbers in HMIS accurately reflect reality, since low utilization figures can affect funding
5. Housing Inventory Count Reporting – the HIC will be maintained on a rolling quarterly basis, with all participating agencies asked to report quarterly any updates or changes. A report is being built by Pathways to support ongoing tracking and utilization calculations.

Meeting link

**Data Performance Work Group**

**May 28, 2026 1:00-2:30**

<https://us02web.zoom.us/meeting/register/b9QukretRr6nvE7HxcbFCA>

Meeting ID: 873 2387 0411

Passcode: 304488

Data/Performance Work Group google site includes prior meeting material located at the link below.

<https://sites.google.com/view/norcalcontinuumofcare/home?authuser=0>

**6. Development of Youth Action Board – Technical Assistance**

- A. The NorCal CoC is receiving Technical Assistance from Technical Assistance Collaborative (TAC) advisors to form a Youth Action Board (YAB) to better engage youth and address youth homelessness. TAC

advisors joined the Shasta Homeless Youth Alliance on April 16 for a presentation about the formation of a YAB.

- B. The YAB Work Group is currently seeking Youth with lived experience of homelessness or housing instability to join the YAB planning efforts, to become engaged and help influence the youth homeless response system.

We are developing a one page description of the YAB activities to distribute to youth. The flyer will be completed by May 19 for distribution.

- C. Next meeting is May 19, 2026 1:00 to 2:30. We meet every two weeks on Tuesday 1:00 to 2:30.

NorCal CoC Youth Action Board Development Technical Assistance Meeting

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us06web.zoom.us/j/89441061646?pwd=NAzEMkCcSWYNa2AxYe3t3lvbzDkTw.1>

Meeting ID: 894 4106 1646

Passcode: 219167

Please email me if you would like more information about YAB development and receive YAB planning emails.

## 7. Development of an Emergency Transfer Plan Policy. (ETP)

The NorCal CoC is participating in a six week workshop to enable the development of an Emergency Transfer Plan Policy.

An Emergency Transfer Plan is a housing policy, mandated by the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) and overseen by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), that allows tenants who are victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking to relocate to a safe unit without facing discrimination or denial of housing.

We are at the halfway point of the Workshop. The goal for the NorCal CoC is to complete the development of a compliant ETP that will improve coordination and service to tenants in the seven counties who are eligible for an emergency transfer.

In addition to drafting an ETP we will be developing future training opportunities and sharing information about resources to support eligible tenants.

Interested community members are invited to join our conversation every other week on Tuesdays from 10:00 to 11:00 through June 9. Our next check-ins are scheduled for May 12, 26 and June 9.

Email me if you would like additional information or an invitation to join our May 12 Workshop Check-in.

For any questions about this report email Kristen at [kristen@kristenschreder.com](mailto:kristen@kristenschreder.com)